

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/485,734	02/14/2000	FRANK PUTTKAMMER	HENN0012UPCT-US	2153
75	90 11/27/2006		EXAM	INER
Neifeld IP Law, P.C.			CAPUTO, LISA M	
4813-B Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	2876

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Commence	09/485,734	PUTTKAMMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2006.	•				
·_ ·	action is non-final.	*				
· <u>-</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·_						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or e	section requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority ariable 55 5.5.5. § 1.15(a)	(4) 5. (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·					
application from the International Bureau	•	d in this National Stage				
		d				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
MAA o hara analah						
Attachment(s)	A) Theories Summer	(PTO 413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/485,734

Art Unit: 2876

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Amendment**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 14 September 2006.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a structure for an optically effective diffraction security element, classified in class 235, subclass 491.
- II. Claims 12-22, drawn to an apparatus for examining documents provided with optically effective diffraction elements which is characterized by a capacitively operating scanner the width of which is larger than the largest width of a document, classified in class 235, subclass 451.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as combination and
  subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the

subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the security element can by read by an apparatus other than the apparatus which is characterized by a capacitively operating scanner. The subcombination has separate utility such as being able to examine other security documents with different optically effective diffraction security elements.

Art Unit: 2876

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Richard Neifeld (Reg. No. 35,299) on 17

  November 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 09/485,734

Art Unit: 2876

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 filed 14 September 2006 have been considered but are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully submits that the restriction as set forth in the office action of 25 August 2006 is indeed proper, and this subsequent restriction is set forth to more clearly describe the basis of the restriction.

Art Unit: 2876

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Lisa M. Caputo* whose telephone number is (571) 272-2388. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30AM to 5:00PM Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [lisa.caputo@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lisa M. Caputo AU 2876

November 20, 2006